

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

Miscellaneous Petition No. 467 of 1993

PETITIONER :- Shikshan Samiti, Thathari through Secretary, Udit Narayan Singh, aged 46 years, Son of Thakur Shyam Sunder Singh, resident of Thathari, Tahsil Sakti, District Bilaspur.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-
1. State of Madhya Pradesh, through Secretary, Department of School Education, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal.
2. Board of Higher Secondary Education, Madhya Pradesh Bhopal, through Secretary.
3. Controller of Examinations, Board of Secondary Education, Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.
4. Commissioner of Public Instructions, Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.
5. Joint Director Public Instructions, Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur.
6. Deputy Director School Education, Bilaspur Division, Bilaspur.
7. Shikshak Samiti, Thathari, Tahsil Sakti, District Bilaspur.

..2..

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

64

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP 4067/93 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	30.8.2005 Shri Ashish Shrivastava, Advocate with Smt. Smita Ghai, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri R.R. Sinha, P.L. for respondent No.1, 4, 5 & 6. Shri H.B. Agarwal, Sr. Advocate with Shri P. Agarwal, Advocate for respondent No.2 & 3. Heard. The petitioner's case is that the petitioner is a registered society and established a school known as 'Thakur Shyam Sunder Singh Higher Secondary School, Thathari'. The school was duly recognized by respondent No.2-Board and the control & management has been vested in the governing body of the school. However, the respondent-Board without any show cause notice and without any enquiry vide notice dated 23.5.1992 (Annexure P-1) cancelled the recognition of the petitioner and withdrew the grant also and thereafter, the administration was shifted to respondent No.7. Shri Agarwal, learned Sr. Advocate for the Board submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 after considering the representation made by the petitioner will pass the order in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection. In the circustances, the petitioner-society is directed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 raising all their grievances within a fortnight and after receipt of such representation respondent No.2 shall consider the same in an objective manner and pass an objective order in accordance with law after considering all the points	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	raised by the petitioner in the representation and after giving opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Till then interim order passed by this Court shall continue. Counsel for the petitioner to supply extra copy of the petition to counsel for the respondent-Board. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	